

In the Claims:

Please CANCEL, without prejudice, claims 4, 6, 7, 21, 23-25, 31, 32, 38 and 39, and AMEND claim 9, 11, 17, 18 and 37 as follows:

9. **(Once Amended)** An eyeglass device as recited in Claim [2] 1 wherein:  
the coupling occurs at a coupling surface on the second frame; and  
the angle between the coupling surface and the frontal plane is approximately between 135 degrees and 45 degrees.

11. **(Once Amended)** An eyeglass device as recited in Claim 10 wherein the bridge of the auxiliary frame includes a hinge [so that] for folding the auxiliary frame [can be folded].

17. **(Once Amended)** An eyeglass device as recited in Claim [15] 13, wherein:  
the flange includes an extension, extending from the bridge; and  
the extension subtends an extension angle with the bridge of the auxiliary frame, such that the extension angle is between 45 and 135 degrees;  
such that when the auxiliary frame is attached to the primary frame, the bridge of the primary frame is disposed between frontal plane and at least a portion of the extension.

18. **(Once Amended)** An eyeglass device comprising:  
a bridge configured to connect [connecting] two retaining mechanism and [holding]  
hold them together, with the two mechanisms for supporting a pair of lenses of a first frame  
and defining a frontal plane; and

a magnetic member at the bridge for magnetically coupling to another magnetic member at the bridge of a second frame;

such that[:

the two retaining mechanisms defines a frontal plane; and]

when coupled, the two frames are attached together, and due to the location of the magnetic members, one of the frames is restricted from moving downwards relative to the other frame.

**37. (Once Amended)** An eyeglass device as recited in Claim 33 wherein [the primary frame can be attached to] the auxiliary frame[, which] further includes a flange that extends from the bridge of the auxiliary frame to further secure the attachment of the auxiliary frame to the primary frame, when the auxiliary frame is coupled to the primary frame.

## REMARKS

Applicants presented claims 1 through 46 for examination. In the above-identified Office Action, the Examiner has objected to the title and rejected all of the claims.

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's comments in his Office Action to the above-identified application. In view of the comments, Applicants have amended the claims and the title of the application. For the reasons to be stated below and in view of the amendments made, Applicants respectfully request the Examiner re-consider the application.

By this amendment, Applicants have (a) amended the title of the application; (b) amended claims 9, 11, 17, 18 and 37; and (c) deleted claims 4, 6, 7, 21, 23-25, 31, 32, 38 and 39. Accordingly, claims 1-3, 5, 8-20, 22, 26-30, 33-37 and 40-46 are now in the application.